IDH and FLT3 Inhibitors in Elderly Patients with Newly Diagnosed Acute Myeloid Leukemia Caity Blankenship, PharmD, MBA, PGY1 Resident Mentors: Mallory Stevens, PharmD, BCOP Kelly Plach, PharmD, BCOP Barnes-Jewish Hospital December 15th, 2021

Learning Objectives

- 1. Identify the role in therapy of IDH1, IDH2, and FLT3 inhibitor targeted therapy in newly diagnosed elderly AML patients
- 2. Describe the safety and efficacy of low intensity combination regimens for IDH1, IDH2, and FLT3 mutations in newly diagnosed elderly AML patients

| Drug Abbreviations | Drug |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| AZA | Azacitidine |
| VEN | Venetoclax |
| IVO | Ivosidenib |
| ENA | Enasidenib |
| LDAC | Low-dose cytarabine |
| FLT3i | FLT3 Inhibitor |
| GIL | Gilteritinib |
| LIC | Low-intensity chemotherapy |
| TT | Triplet Therapy (LIC + VEN + FLT3i) |
| DT | Doublet Therapy (LIC + FLT3i) |

Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) Performance Status

- Grade 0: Fully active, able to carry on all pre-disease performance without restriction
- Grade 1: Restricted in physically strenuous activity but ambulatory and able to carry out work of light or sedentary nature
- Grade 2: Ambulatory and capable of all selfcare but unable to carry out work activities; up and about more than 50% of waking hours
- Grade 3: Capable of only limited selfcare; confined to bed or chair more than 50% of waking hours
- Grade 4: Completely disabled; cannot carry on any selfcare; totally confined to bed or chair
- Grade 5: Dead

Classification of Response

- Complete response (CR): bone marrow blast (BMB) < 5%, ANC > 1 x 10⁹, and platelet recovery > 100
- Complete response with incomplete hematologic recovery (CRi): all CR criteria with residual Neutropenia or thrombocytopenia
- Composite complete response (CRc): CR + CRi
- Partial remission (PR): Normalization of blood counts (as defined above) + decrease of blast to 5-25% and decrease for pretreatment bone marrow blast by 50%

• Overall response rate (ORR): collective response definition

Long-term Outcomes

- Overall Survival (OS): number of days from trial beginning/randomization to the date of death
- Event-Free Survival: number of days from trial beginning/randomization to disease progression, treatment failure, confirmed relapse, or death

Standard Dosing

- Azacitadine 75 mg/m² SubQ or IV for 7 days
- Decitabine 20 mg/m² IV for 5-10 days
- Venetoclax 400 mg PO daily for 28 days

IHD1 and IDH2 Inhibitors

| | Ivosidenib | Enasidenib | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Target of Action | IDH1 | IDH2 | |
| NCCN Recommendation | endation R/R and newly diagnosed AML R/R AML | | |
| Dose | 500 mg PO once daily | 100 mg PO once daily | |
| Side Effects | GI, fatigue, arthralgia, dyspnea, | Hypocalcemia, hypokalemia, | |
| | QTc prolongation, | differentiation syndrome, | |
| | differentiation syndrome | hepatoxicity | |
| Drug-Drug interaction | Major CYP3A4 (substrate) | 3A4 (substrate) Minor CYP1A2 (substrate) | |
| Cost (AWP) | AWP) \$33,600 per 28-day cycle \$33,040 per 28-day c | | |
| Monotherapy Response | CR+CRh 41% | CRc 21% | |

R/R: Relapse/refractory; AWP: Average wholesale price

IDH Inhibitor Summary

| | Pollyea, et al (2020) ¹ N=109 | Ivosidenib IDH1 (Montesino, et al 2022) ² N=146 | Enasidenib IDH2 (DiNardo, et al 2021) ³ N=101 |
|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Intervention | VEN + AZA | IVO + AZA | Enasidenib + AZA |
| IDH1 CRc, n (%) | 22 (66.7) | 34 (47) | - |
| IDH1 CRc, n (%) | 43 (86) | - | 37 (54) |
| Median OS, months | 24.5 | 24 | 22 |
| Summary | Standard non-IDH | IVO + AZA > AZA for IDH1 | Enasidenib + AZA > AZA |
| | inhibitor therapy | mutation | for IDH2 mutations |

IDH1 Mutation Recommendations:

- 1. Azacitidine + Venetoclax
- 2. Ivosidenib + Azacitidine
- 3. Ivosidenib monotherapy

IDH2 Mutation Recommendations:

1. Azacitidine + Venetoclax

- 2. Enasidenib + Azacitidine
- 3. Enasidenib monotherapy

FLT3 Inhibitors

| | Midostaurin | Gilteritinib | Sorafenib | Quizartinib |
|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Target of Action | TKD/ITD | TKD/ITD | ITD | ITD |
| NCCN | Newly Diagnosed | R/R AML | AML | Not FDA approved |
| Recommendation | AML | | | |
| Dose | 50 mg PO BID on | 120 mg PO once | 400 mg PO BID | 30-60 mg PO |
| | days 8-21 | daily | | daily* |
| Side Effects | QT prolongation, | Differentiation | Increase risk of | Nausea, vomiting, |
| | pulmonary | syndrome, | bleeding, cardiac | pyrexia, |
| | toxicity, | pancreatitis, QT | infarction, hand- | infections, QT |
| | pancreatitis, | prolongation, | foot rash, GI | prolongation |
| | increased LFTs | increased LFTs | perforation, | |
| | | | hepatotoxicity, | |
| | | | hypertension, QT | |
| | | | prolongation | |
| Drug-Drug | Major CYP3A4 | Major CYP3A4 | Major CYP3A4 | ? |
| Interaction | (substrate) | (substrate) | (substrate) | |
| Cost (AWP) | \$11,928 per cycle | \$28,812 per cycle | \$23,520 per cycle | ? |

*Dose used in studies. R/R: relapse/refractory, AWP: average wholesale price

FLT3 Inhibitor Summary

| | VIALE-A (2020) ⁴ | Ohanian, et al (2017) ⁵ | Wang, et al (2022) ⁶ | Maiti, et al (2021) ⁷ N=25 | Short, et al (2021) ⁸ | Yilmaz, et al (2022) ⁹ N=97 |
|--------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| | IN-29 | IN-27 | IN-125 | IN-25 | IN-20 | |
| Intervention | VEN + AZA | Sorafenib + | Gilteritinib + | FLI3 inhibitor | Gilteritinib | Triplet therapy (LIC |
| | | AZA | AZA vs AZA | + Decitabine + | + VEN + | + VEN + FLT3 |
| | | | | VEN | AZA | inhibitor) vs |
| | | | | | | Doublet therapy (LIC |
| | | | | | | + FLT3 inhibitor) |
| CR, % | - | 44 | 16.2 vs 14.3 | 75 | 73 | 67 vs 32 |
| OS, months | 12.5 | 8.3 | 9.8 vs 8.9 | NR at 2 years | - | NR at 12 months vs |
| | | | | | | 9.5 |
| CRc, % | 72.4 | 57 | 58.1 vs 26.5 | 92 | 82 | 93 vs 70 |
| Summary | Standard | NCCN | Terminated | Increased | Increased | Triplet therapy > |
| | non-FLT3 | Guideline | early | overall survival | response | doublet therapy |
| | inhibitor | FLT3 | | | rate | |
| | | inhibitor | | | | |

FLT3 Mutation Recommendations:

Azacitidine + Venetoclax

References

- 1. Pollyea DA, et al. Impact of Venetoclax and Azacitidine in Treatment-Naïve Patients with Acute Myeloid Leukemia and IDH1/2 Mutations. Clin Cancer Res. 2022 Jul 1;28(13):2753-2761
- 2. Montesinos P, et al. Ivosidenib and Azacitidine in *IDH1*-Mutated Acute Myeloid Leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2022 Apr 21;386(16):1519-1531
- 3. DiNardo CD, et a. Enasidenib plus azacitidine versus azacitidine alone in patients with newly diagnosed, mutant-IDH2 acute myeloid leukaemia (AG221-AML-005): a single-arm, phase 1b and randomised, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2021 Nov;22(11):1597-1608
- 4. DiNardo CD, et al. Venetoclax combined with decitabine or azacitidine in treatment-naive, elderly patients with acute myeloid leukemia. Blood. 2019 Jan 3;133(1):7-17
- 5. Ohanian M, et al. Sorafenib Combined with 5-azacytidine in Older Patients with Untreated FLT3-ITD Mutated Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Am J Hematol. 2018 Sep;93(9):1136-1141
- 6. Wang ES, et al. Phase 3 trial of gilteritinib plus azacitidine vs azacitidine for newly diagnosed FLT3mut+ AML ineligible for intensive chemotherapy. Blood. 2022 Oct 27;140(17):1845-1857.
- 7. Maiti A, et al. Triplet therapy with venetoclax, FLT3 inhibitor and decitabine for FLT3-mutated acute myeloid leukemia. Blood Cancer J. 2021 Feb 1;11(2):25.
- Nicholas J. Short, et al. A Triplet Combination of Azacitidine, Venetoclax and Gilteritinib for Patients with *FLT3*-Mutated Acute Myeloid Leukemia: Results from a Phase I/II Study. *Blood* 2021; 138 (Supplement 1): 696.
- 9. Yilmaz M, et al. Hypomethylating agent and venetoclax with FLT3 inhibitor "triplet" therapy in older/unfit patients with FLT3 mutated AML. Blood Cancer J. 2022 May 2;12(5):77.